



Guidance Roadmap

Target Affordability and Control Cost Growth

- Mandate affordability as a requirement
 - At Milestone A set affordability target as a Key Performance Parameter
 - At Milestone B establish engineering trades showing how each key design feature affects the target cost
- Drive productivity growth through Will Cost/Should Cost management
- Eliminate redundancy within warfighter portfolios
- Make production rates economical and hold them stable
- Set shorter program timelines and manage to them

Incentivize Productivity & Innovation in Industry

- Reward contractors for successful supply chain and indirect expense management
- Increase the use of FPIF contract type where appropriate using a 50/50 share line and 120 percent ceiling as a point of departure
- Adjust progress payments to incentivize performance
- Extend the Navy's Preferred Supplier Program to a DoD-wide pilot
- Reinvigorate industry's independent research and development and protect the defense technology base

Promote Real Competition

- Present a competitive strategy at each program milestone
- Remove obstacles to competition
 - Allow reasonable time to bid
 - Require non-certified cost and pricing data on single offers
 - Require open system architectures and set rules for acquisition of technical data rights
- Increase dynamic small business role in defense marketplace competition

Improve Tradecraft in Services Acquisition

- Create a senior manager for acquisition of services in each component, following the Air Force's example
- Adopt uniform taxonomy for different types of services
- Address causes of poor tradecraft in services acquisition
 - Assist users of services to define requirements and prevent creep via requirements templates
 - Assist users of services to conduct market research to support competition and pricing
 - Enhance competition by requiring more frequent re-compete of knowledge-based services
 - Limit the use of time and materials and award fee contracts for services
 - Require that services contracts exceeding \$1B contain cost efficiency objectives
- Increase small business participation in providing services

Reduce Non-Productive Processes and Bureaucracy

- Reduce the number of OSD-level reviews to those necessary to support major investment decisions or to uncover and respond to significant program execution issues
- Eliminate low-value-added statutory processes
- Reduce by half the volume and cost of internal and congressional reports
- Reduce non-value-added overhead imposed on industry
- Align DCMA and DCAA processes to ensure work is complementary
- Increase use of Forward Pricing Rate Recommendations (FPRRs) to reduce administrative costs